

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

**ELECTRONIC** 

05/09/2007

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/738,319 12/17/2003 Patrick M. Bailey 7917 LENX-0002 **EXAMINER** HITT GAINES P.C. P.O. BOX 832570 NATALINI, JEFF WILLIAM RICHARDSON, TX 75083 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2858 NOTIFICATION DATE **DELIVERY MODE** 

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@hittgaines.com

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary			
	10/738,319	BAILEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Jeff Natalini	2858	
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	ebruary 2007.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-26 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-26 is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 September 2006 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ats have been received.  ats have been received in brity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/26/07 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanamori (6124716).

In regard to claim 1, Kanamori discloses a centralized connector module (figure 1 elements 34, 38, and 26 make up the connector module) comprising: a dielectric body (the wires have an insulator covering as will the test circuitry; so as to protect people from being electrocuted, see also line 3 line 36-37) having interconnected terminal sets (figure 1 elements 36) corresponding to components connectable thereacross (figure 1 elements 24 are connected in the junction box which the module-elements 34, 38, and 26-connect to) and configured to provide terminating points (the point pins-elements 36-

Application/Control Number: 10/738,319 Page 3

Art Unit: 2858

connect with the electrical junction box- element 10) for said components for continuous operation thereof (the module-figure 1 elements 34, 38, and 26-connect to the electrical junction block; col 2 line 1-18), said centralized connector module incapable of controlling said components (no mention in Kanamori of controlling the components through cited connector module);

and continuity indicator circuits integrated within said body (continuity tester and harness are one module; col 2 line 7-11) and associated with some of said terminals sets and configured to indicated continuity faults with respect to connected components (col 4 line 11-18).

In regard to claim 7, Kanamori discloses wherein the terminal sets remain functional upon a failure of any of said continuity indicator circuits (figure 1, element 36, remain electrically connected and functional as they still pass the electrical signal through .. even if the continuity testers fail).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanamori (6124716) in view of Cheek et al. (3728616).

Art Unit: 2858

In regard to claims 2, 4, and 6, Kanamori lacks specifically stating that some of the terminal sets are connected in series and wherein the continuity circuits have impedances based on illuminating light emitting diodes, wherein voltage indicator circuits are associated with the output terminals sets to indicate an operation of corresponding ones of said components.

Cheek et al. discloses wherein the terminal sets are connected in series (fig 1 (R11 in series with R21 which is in series with R22, etc.) and wherein the continuity circuits have impedances based on illuminating light emitting diodes (col 3 line 35-41 and line 61- col 4 line 7), wherein voltage indicator circuits are associated with the output terminals sets to indicate an operation of corresponding ones of said components (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Kanamori to include terminal sets connected in series where a light is illuminated in association with the detection, wherein voltage indicator circuits are associated with output terminals of the components as taught by Cheek et al. in order to test for wiring errors in a plurality of pairs of terminals (abstract) so that it can be determined which particular connections are defective or fine (col 3 line 61 – col 4 line 7).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanamori (6124716) in view of Collier et al. (6323652).

Kanamori discloses a power supply (junction box (10)- col 3 line 9-16)).

Page 5

Art Unit: 2858

Kanamori lacks specifically wherein an AC Power In terminal set is associated with a voltage indicator circuit and configured to indicate a presence of a voltage thereacross.

Collier et al. discloses wherein an AC Power In terminal set (provided by an outlet) associated with a voltage indicator circuit and configured to indicate a presence of a voltage thereacross (col 8 line 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for Kanamori to have an AC power in terminal set associated with a voltage indicator circuit as taught by Collier et al. in order to indicate that the level of the power supply is adequate (col 8 line 2-3).

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 2/26/07 with respect to claims 1, 2, and 4-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The examiner has applied Kanamori (6124716), which was previously applied in the office action of 6/29/06. A different interpretation of the reference is presented in the rejection from the interpretation that was presented in the action of 6/29/06. The examiner has gone through the arguments filed 9/21/06 based on the action of 6/29/06. Because this is a different interpretation of the reference all the arguments are not pertinent, but the examiner will respond to the pertinent arguments. The first argument on page 9 is about what part of Kanamori corresponds to the module as claimed. Stating the junction block/test unit/connects cannot be considered one module. In the present

Application/Control Number: 10/738,319 Page 6

Art Unit: 2858

rejection the module consists of figure 1 elements 34, 38, and 26; which is the module for testing the continuity. The continuity test module includes the continuity test unit 26 and the applied cables-34 and 38 (col 2 line 7-11). This does not include the junction block- 10- or electrical harness- 18/22- as in the previous rejection.

On page 10, the applicant argues that terminal pins 36 do not provide a termination for the electrical devices 24. The wiring harness 18 is connected to the junction block 10 at the same node the terminal pins 36 of the testing module are connected and thus provide terminal points/node where electrical devices are terminated.

Also on page 10, applicant argues that the body of test unit conductor 20 does not include continuity circuits integrated within the unit 20. While the examiner agrees with this statement, elements 34, 38, and 26 are all part of the dielectric body that are integrated together to form the module, and they are all integrated in the dielectric body as the claim states. Module as defined by The American Heritage Dictionary is a "self contained assembly of electronic components installed as a unit". The components in Kanamori elements 20, 38, and 26, are a unit/module that measure continuity. Therefore, elements 20 and 26 are integrated within the module.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Liotta (5285163) discloses an electrical cable continuity and voltage tester that is used in electrical equipment such as that used in entertainment,

Page 7

studio, or stage lighting. Kashiyama (5689191) discloses a terminal in connector checking device which discloses a continuity checking member for terminals in the connector, wherein the connector is moved into the continuity checking member. Parker et al. (6960917) discloses a method and apparatus for diagnosing defect locations in electrical paths of connectors of circuit assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Natalini whose telephone number is 571-272-2266. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on 571-272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeff Natalini

SM

WALTER BENSON, PHD, P.E.
PRIMARY EXAMINER